

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/727,108	12/02/2003	Jens Barrenscheen	J0658,0006	4414
38881 7590 08/18/2008 DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP 1177 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS 6TH AVENUE			EXAMINER	
			DSOUZA, JOSEPH FRANCIS A	
NEW YORK, NY 10036-2714		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2611	•
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/18/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/727,108 BARRENSCHEEN ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit ADOLF DSOUZA 2611 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 May 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1 - 20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 17,18 and 20 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 - 16, 19 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 May 2008</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

Application/Control Number: 10/727,108 Page 2

Art Unit: 2611

Response to Arguments

 Applicant's amendments to the drawings and FRPR submission have been accepted by the Examiner.

2. Applicant's arguments, see Remarks (page 10, last 2 paragraphs; page 11, last 2 paragraphs), filed 5/8/2008 with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1 & 4 respectively under 35 USC 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Ishii (EP_793111; which the Applicant has provided in his IDS (7/6/2004).

Priority

 Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1 4, 9 16, 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Arslain et al. (US 6,366,153) in view of Applicant Admitted Prior Art

Art Unit: 2611

(hereafter referred to as AAPA) and further in view of Ishii (EP_793111; which the Applicant has provided in his IDS (7/6/2004).)

Regarding claim 1, Arslain discloses an arrangement comprising:

a first semiconductor chip (Fig. 1, element 102) configured to transmit load control data (Fig. 1; column 2, lines 63 - 67; column 3, lines 1-7);

and a second semiconductor chip connected coupled to the first semiconductor chip and (Fig. 1, element 100);

and a plurality of electrical loads coupled to the second semiconductor chip (Fig. 1, element 118)

wherein the second semiconductor chip is configured to:

- a) drive the plurality of electrical loads based on a timing that is defined by the load control data (column 2, line 46 – column, line 7),
- b) transmit to the first semiconductor chip diagnostic data which represent at least one of a plurality of states of the second semiconductor chip and events which occur in the second semiconductor chip (Fig. 1; column 3, lines 8 – 50).

Arslain does not disclose transmission of the load control data and pilot data and that the second semiconductor chip transmits the diagnostic data.

Art Unit: 2611

In the same field of endeavor, however, AAPA discloses the load control data and pilot control data (DATA2, DATA1a, Figure1) and the first semiconductor chip includes means for transmitting appropriate pilot data to the second semiconductor chip, and the second semiconductor chip includes means for controlling a transmission rate by which the diagnostic data is transmitted to the first semiconductor chip in accordance with the appropriate pilot data (Figure 1, DATA1b).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to use the method, as taught by AAPA, in the system of Arslain because this would allow for communication between the semiconductor chips.

In the same field of endeavor, however, Ishii discloses (c) control a transmission rate of the diagnostic data as prescribed by the pilot data (column 2, line 54 – column 3, line 4; wherein the pilot data is interpreted as the received initialization signal).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to use the method, as taught by Ishii, in the system of Arslain because this would allow the diagnostic data to be sent at the appropriate rate, as disclosed by Ishii.

Regarding claim 2, Arslain discloses that the first semiconductor chip is a programcontrolled unit (Fig. 1, element 102; wherein the program controlled unit is interpreted as the processor).

Art Unit: 2611

Regarding claim 3, Arslain discloses that the second semiconductor chip is a power chip (Fig. 1, element 100; wherein chip 100 is interpreted as the power chip).

Regarding claim 4, Arslain does not disclose that the diagnostic data are transmitted in time with a transmission clock signal generated in the second semiconductor chip.

In the same field of endeavor, however, Ishii discloses the diagnostic data are transmitted in time with a transmission clock signal generated in the second semiconductor chip, and wherein this transmission clock signal is not transmitted to the first semiconductor chip (Fig. 1, elements ECU and 20; column 2, line 54 – column 3, line 4; Fig. 4, bottom signal which is transmitted from the ECU to the diagnostic tester. The clock used to generate the bottom signal is not transmitted to the tester The second semiconductor chip is interpreted as the ECU unit and the first semiconductor chip is interpreted as the diagnostic tester).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to use the method, as taught by Ishii, in the system of Arslain because this would allow for data to be sent between the semiconductor chips without the clock signal being sent, thereby reducing the pin count and complexity.

Regarding claim 9, Arslain discloses the diagnostic data are transmitted via a line which transmits neither the load control data nor the pilot data are transmitted (Fig. 1; column 3, lines 8 – 33).

Art Unit: 2611

Regarding claim 10, Arslain discloses the load control data and the pilot data are transmitted via a transmission channel (Fig. 1).

Regarding claim 11, Arslain discloses the transmission channel comprises a transmission clock line via which the first semiconductor chip transmits a transmission clock signal to the second semiconductor chip (Fig. 1; column 2, line 63 - column 3, line 7), a data line via which the first semiconductor chip transmits the load control data and the pilot data to the second semiconductor chip in time with the transmission clock signal (Fig. 1; column 2, line 63 - column 3, line 7), and a chip select line via which the first semiconductor chip transmits a chip select signal to the second semiconductor chip (Fig. 1; column 2, line 63 - column 3, line 7).

Arslain does not disclose a chip select signal signaling to the second semiconductor chip the start and end of the transmission data.

In the same field of endeavor, however, AAPA discloses chip select signal signaling to the second semiconductor chip a start and end of the transmission of data intended for the second semiconductor chip via the data line ([0023]).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to use the method, as taught by AAPA, in the system of Arslain because this would allow for communication between the semiconductor chips.

Regarding claim 12, Arslain discloses the load control data and the pilot data are transmitted in units of frames, and are transmitted using time-division multiplexing

Art Unit: 2611

(column 2, line 63 – column 3, line 7; wherein it is obvious that frames are used and that time division multiplexing is used).

Regarding claim 13, Arslain discloses the first semiconductor chip defines time windows of constant length and transmits in each time window either a load control data frame or a pilot data frame or no data (column 2, line 63 – column 3, line 7; wherein it is obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that the transmission is in the form of windows).

Regarding claim 14, Arslain discloses the first semiconductor chip transmits no further load control data frame for a respective length of n time windows after transmission of a load control data frame, where n>=0 and where n can be set by the user of the arrangement (column 3, lines 8 - 34).

Regarding claim 15, Arslain discloses a pilot data frame can be transmitted only in a time window in which no load control data frames is to be transmitted (column 2, line 63 - column 3, line 7).

Regarding claim 16, Arslain does not disclose transmission of the pilot data has priority when load control data and pilot data are awaiting transmission simultaneously.

In the same field of endeavor, however, AAPA discloses transmission of the pilot data has priority when load control data and pilot data are awaiting transmission simultaneously ([0009] – [0010]).

Art Unit: 2611

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to use the method, as taught by AAPA, in the system of Arslain because this would allow for communication between the semiconductor chips.

Regarding claim 19, Arslain discloses the first semiconductor chip has a plurality of output drivers configured to output the load control data, the pilot data and the transmission clock signal (Figure 1; wherein it is obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to increase the number of drivers).

6. Claim 5 - 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Arslain et al. (US 6,366,153) in view of Applicant Admitted Prior Art (hereafter referred to as AAPA) and further in view of Ishii (EP_793111; which the Applicant has provided in his IDS (7/6/2004) and Hastings et al. (US 6,772,251).

Regarding claim 5, Arslain does not disclose the transmission rate is prescribed by a transmitting a division factor.

In the same field of endeavor, however, Hastings discloses the transmission rate is prescribed by transmitting a division factor, and wherein the second semiconductor chip divides the frequency of a transmission clock signal received from the first semiconductor chip by the division factor and transmits the diagnostic data to the first

Art Unit: 2611

semiconductor chip in time with the resultant transmission signal (Fig. 1, element 122; column 1, lines 29 – 36; column 2, lines 60 – 67; column 3, lines 1 - 21).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to use the method, as taught by Hastings, in the system of Arslain because this would reduce the wire count (Hastings, column 1, lines 29 - 55).

Regarding claim 6, Arslain does not disclose the transmission clock signal transmitted to the second semiconductor chip represents the transmission clock which is used by the first semiconductor chip to transmit the load control data or the pilot data to the second semiconductor chip.

In the same field of endeavor, however, AAPA discloses the transmission clock signal supplied to the second semiconductor chip represents the transmission clock which is used by the first semiconductor chip to transmit the load control data or the pilot data to the second semiconductor chip ([0021] – [0025]).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to use the method, as taught by AAPA, in the system of Arslain because this would facilitate proper communication between the chips.

Regarding claim 7, Arslain does not disclose the diagnostic data are transmitted in units of frames, and each frame starts with a start bit having a prescribed value and ends with one or two stop bits having prescribed values.

Art Unit: 2611

In the same field of endeavor, however, Hastings discloses disclose the diagnostic data are transmitted in units of frames, where a frame starts with a start bit having a prescribed value and ends with one or two stop bits having prescribed values (Fig. 3, elements 310, 318).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to use the method, as taught by Hastings, in the system of Arslain because this would allow for a protocol for transmisison, as is obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Claims 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Arslain
et al. (US 6,366,153) in view of Applicant Admitted Prior Art (hereafter referred to as
AAPA) and further in view of Ishii (EP_793111; which the Applicant has provided in his
IDS (7/6/2004).) and Jeong (US 5,675,584).

Regarding claim 8, Arslain does not disclose over sampling to determine the phase.

In the same field of endeavor, however, Jeong discloses the first semiconductor chip ascertains a phase of the diagnostic data by over sampling the diagnostic data (column 2, lines 62-65).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to use the method, as taught by Jeong, in the system of

Art Unit: 2611

Arslain because this would enable the phase of the incoming stream to be determined, as disclosed by Arslain.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 17, 18 and 20 are allowed.

Other Prior Art Cited

 The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to the applicant's disclosure.

The following patents are cited to further show the state of the art with respect to chip communication:

Hepworth et al. (US 3,975,712) discloses Asynchronous communication interface adaptor.

Fujii et al. (US 6,274,895) discloses a semiconductor integrated circuit device.

Fujii et al. (US 20020030212) discloses a semiconductor integrated circuit device.

Kobayashi et al (US 20020067638) discloses a semiconductor device and data processing system.

Contact Information

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ADOLF DSOUZA whose telephone number is (571)272-

Art Unit: 2611

1043. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 AM to 5:00 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Payne can be reached on 571-272-3024. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Adolf DSouza Examiner Art Unit 2611

AD

/Kevin M. Burd/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2611 8/14/2008